

MIFIDPRU8 Annual Disclosure Report as at 31st December 2024

J.P. Morgan Mansart Management Limited

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION.....	4
2. RISK MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES (MIFIDPRU 8.2).....	5
3. GOVERNANCE ARRANGEMENTS (MIFIDPRU 8.3).....	10
4. OWN FUNDS (MIFIDPRU 8.4).....	11
5. OWN FUNDS REQUIREMENT (MIFIDPRU 8.5).....	14
6. REMUNERATION POLICY AND PRACTICES (MIFIDPRU 8.6).....	15
7. INVESTMENT POLICY (MIFIDPRU 8.7).....	17
8. APPENDIX: IFPR MAPPING REFERENCE.....	18
9. GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS.....	20

List of Tables

Table 1: OF1 – Composition of regulatory own funds.....	11
Table 2: OF2 – Reconciliation of regulatory own funds to balance sheet in the audited financial statements.....	12
Table 3: OF3 – Main features of own instruments issued by JPMMML.....	13
Table 4: K - Factor and Fixed Overhead requirement.....	14
Table 5: Total remuneration awarded for performance period.....	16

1. Introduction

Overview

Public disclosure is an essential element of facilitating market discipline and encouraging the stability of financial markets by allowing market participants to assess key information on capital adequacy and risk and control processes. The Financial Conduct Authority ("FCA") sets out their expectations for public disclosures in Chapter 8 of the MIFIDPRU Prudential sourcebook for MiFID Investment Firms within the FCA handbook.

In accordance with the provisions of MIFIDPRU, all UK investment firms are classified either as small and non-interconnected ("SNI") investment firms or non-small and non-interconnected ("non-SNI") investment firms. All of J.P. Morgan's UK investment firms fall into the non-SNI category and are subject to full MIFIDPRU requirements.

This disclosure document is prepared in accordance with the FCA MIFIDPRU8 requirements, disclosing information on the following areas.

- Risk management objectives and policies
- Governance arrangements
- Own funds
- Own funds requirements
- Remuneration policy and practices

Quantitative disclosures are made as at 31 December 2024.

J.P. Morgan Mansart Management Limited ("JPMML" or "legal entity") does not have a UK Parent entity. JPMML is a management and advisory company incorporated in the UK, providing investment management services to clients through its fund solutions business, and is regulated by the FCA.

This document refers to JPMorgan Chase & Company ("JPMC" or "Firm") when referring to frameworks, methodologies, systems and controls that are adopted throughout JPMC and its subsidiaries. Entity names or legal entity are used to refer to documents, financial resources and other tangible concepts relevant only to JPMML.

Means of Disclosure (MIFIDPRU8.1.13)

The disclosure report for UK regulated entities is made available according to MIFIDPRU 8.1.13 on the website of JPMC at: <http://investor.shareholder.com/jpmorganchase/basel.cfm>

The ultimate parent of the entities in scope of the disclosure is JPMC, a financial holding company incorporated under Delaware law in 1968. Firmwide disclosure is made under Basel III requirement and is available using the same link as the UK regulated entities disclosure. The report should be read in conjunction with the Annual Report on Form 10-K and the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q which have been filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and available at the following link: <http://investor.shareholder.com/jpmorganchase/sec.cfm>

Geopolitical tensions

The duration and potential outcomes of geopolitical conflicts remain uncertain. The Firm and the legal entity continue to monitor and manage the operational risks associated with geopolitical conflicts, including expectations on the political impact of tariffs, compliance with the financial and economic sanctions and the increased risk of cyber-attacks.

2. Risk management objectives and policies (MIFIDPRU 8.2)

The requirement is to disclose risk management objectives and policies for the categories of risk addressed by: MIFIDPRU 4 (Own funds requirements), MIFIDPRU 5 (Concentration risk), and MIFIDPRU 6 (Liquidity). In doing so the legal entity performs an internal assessment of the applicable risks and potential harms to clients, markets, and the firm. This section addresses the overall risk management activities.

Risk Management Framework

Risk is an inherent part of the Firm's business activities. The Firm's overall objective is to manage its business, and the associated risks, in a manner that balances serving the interest of its clients, customers and investors and protecting the safety and soundness of the Firm.

The Firm believes that effective risk management requires, among other things:

- Acceptance of responsibility, including identification and escalation of risks by all individuals within the Firm;
- Ownership of risk identification, assessment, data and management within each of the Line of Businesses ("LOBs") and Corporate Functions ("CFs"); and
- A Firmwide risk governance and oversight structure.

The Firm follows a disciplined and balanced compensation framework with strong internal governance and independent oversight by the Board of Directors (the "Board"). The impact of risk and control issues is carefully considered in the Firm's performance evaluation and incentive compensation processes.

Risk Organisation

The Firm's risk governance framework is managed on a Firmwide basis. The Firm has an Independent Risk Management ("IRM") function, which is comprised of Risk Management and Compliance. The Firm's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") appoints, subject to approval by the Risk Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Board Risk Committee"), the Firm's Chief Risk Officer ("CRO") to lead the IRM function and manage the risk governance framework of the Firm. The framework is subject to approval by the Board Risk Committee through its review and approval of the Risk Governance and Oversight Policy.

The Firm's CRO oversees and delegates authority to the Firmwide Risk Executives ("FREs"), the Chief Risk Officers of the LOBs and Corporate ("LOB CROs"), and the Firm's Chief Compliance Officer ("CCO"), who, in turn, establish Risk Management and Compliance organizations, develop the Firm's risk governance policies and standards, and define and oversee the implementation of the Firm's risk governance framework. The LOB CROs oversee risks that arise in their LOBs and CFs, while FREs oversee risks that span across the LOBs and CFs, as well as functions and regions. Each area of the Firm that gives rise to risk is expected to operate within the parameters identified by the IRM function, and within the risk and control standards established by its own management.

The Firm's "three lines of defense" are as follows:

The first line of defense consists of each LOB, Treasury and Chief Investment Office ("TCIO"), and certain other CF initiatives, including their aligned Operations, Technology and Control Management. The first line of defense owns the risks, and identification of risks, associated with their respective activities and the design and execution of controls to manage those risks. Responsibilities also include adherence to applicable laws, rules and regulations and implementation of the risk governance framework established by IRM, which may include policies, standards, limits, thresholds and controls.

The second line of defense is the IRM function, which is separate from the first line of defense and is responsible for independently measuring risk, as well as assessing and challenging the risk management activities of the first line of defense. IRM is also responsible for the identification of risks within its organization, its own adherence to applicable laws, rules and regulations and for the development and implementation of policies and standards with respect to its own processes.

The third line of defense is Internal Audit, an independent function that provides objective assessment of the adequacy and effectiveness of Firmwide processes, controls, governance and risk management. The Internal Audit function is led by the General Auditor, who reports to the Audit Committee and administratively to the CEO.

In addition, there are other functions that contribute to the Firmwide control environment but are not considered part of a particular line of defense including Corporate Finance, Human Resources and Legal. These other functions are responsible for the identification of risks within their respective organisations, adherence to applicable laws, rules and regulations and implementation of the risk governance framework established by IRM.

Regional Risk Governance

Whilst J.P. Morgan has established a comprehensive Firmwide risk policy framework, this is supplemented as required by legal entity-specific risk policies, which are approved by the relevant entity Boards.

To complement the global line of business structure, there is a regional risk governance construct as below:

- The EMEA Risk Committee ("ERC") provides oversight of the risks inherent in the Firm's business conducted in EMEA or booked into EMEA entities and relevant branches as well as EMEA branches of ex-EMEA firms. Oversight of smaller legal entities (including JPMML) is delegated to the EMEA Legal Entity Risk Committee (ELERC), a sub-committee of the ERC;

- The ERC is accountable to the EMEA Management Committee ("EMC") and the boards, Risk Committees and Oversight Committees of the relevant legal entities. It reports to the Firmwide Risk Committee ("FRC"), the EMEA HR Control Forum, in addition to the EMC and the relevant legal entity Boards; and
- The EMEA CRO leads the Risk Management function in the region and chairs the ERC. The EMEA CRO is a member of the EMC and meets with local regulators on a regular basis.

EMEA Risk Management Organisation

EMEA Chief Risk Officer						
Risk Functions						Partners
<i>CIB Underwriting and Reputation Risk</i>	<i>Wholesale Credit Risk</i>	<i>CIO and Treasury Risk</i>	<i>Climate, Nature & Social Risk</i>	<i>Asset Management Risk</i>	<i>CIB Ex-Trading MRGR</i>	<i>Human Resources</i>
<i>CIB Market Risk</i>	<i>Counterparty Risk</i>	<i>Country Risk</i>	<i>Risk Reporting and Middle Office</i>	<i>International Private Bank Risk</i>	<i>CIB Trading MRGR</i>	<i>Risk Technology</i>
<i>Operational Risk Management</i>	<i>International Consumer Banking</i>	<i>Asset Liability Management Risk Oversight</i>	<i>Merchant Services Risk</i>	<i>Capital Risk</i>	<i>Legal Entity Risk, Risk Strategy & Execution</i>	<i>EMEA Control Office</i>

Risk Appetite Framework

The Firm's overall appetite for risk is governed by Risk Appetite frameworks for quantitative and qualitative risks. The Firm's risk appetite is periodically set and approved by senior management (including the CEO and CRO) and approved by the Board Risk Committee. Quantitative and qualitative risks are assessed to monitor and measure the Firm's capacity to take risk consistent with its stated risk appetite. Risk appetite results are reported to the Board Risk Committee.

JPMML has a Legal Entity Risk Appetite framework that integrates risk and controls, capital management, and liquidity management to support its risk appetite in the context of its objectives for key stakeholders, including but not limited to shareholders, regulators, and clients. The JPMML Board reviews and approves quantitative parameters and risk appetite tolerances within the Legal Entity's Risk Appetite Framework at least annually. The ELERC and the Board review JPMML's risk appetite results for quantitative parameters at least quarterly.

Identification and Assessment of Key Risks

The LOBs and CFs are responsible for the identification of risks within their respective organisations, as well as the design and execution of controls, including IRM-specified controls, to manage those risks. To support this activity, the Firm has a risk identification framework designed to facilitate the responsibility of each LOB and Corporate to identify material risks inherent to the firm's businesses and operational activities, catalog them in a central repository and review material risks on a regular basis. The IRM function reviews and challenges the risks identified by each LOB and Corporate, maintains the central repository and provides the consolidated Firmwide results to the FRC and the Board RC.

In addition, JPMML's Internal Capital Adequacy and Risk Assessment ("ICARA") process informs the Board and is used to identify and assess the key risks to which JPMML is exposed. It outlines how these risks are measured, managed, monitored, and mitigated, and determines how much capital the entity should hold to reflect these risks now, in the future, and under stressed conditions (including recovery situations), as well as during an orderly wind-down of JPMML's business activities.

Further information on the ICARA process is provided under MIFIDPRU 8.4 disclosure.

Material Potential Harms

JPMML assesses the potential harms that may arise to clients, markets, and the Firm for all categories of risks identified and aligned to the Investment Firms Prudential Regime ("IFPR") requirement. The approach is to assign the risks to the primary source of harm while acknowledging that second and third order impact are possible.

- **Harm to Clients** refers to potential negative impact on firm's clients due to the actions or inaction of the firm. JPMML's clients maybe subject to adverse outcomes due to operational failures, cyber attacks, poor investment performance and entity's failure in meeting its fiduciary responsibilities.
- **Harm to Markets** refers to potential adverse impacts on the integrity and orderly functioning of financial markets. Due to the nature of JPMML's business activities, the potential for causing harm to wider markets is limited.
- **Harm to Firm** refers to potential risks that could threaten the financial health or operational viability of the firm itself. JPMML faces a number of risks that can affect its capital and liquidity resources.

As per the ICARA overall financial adequacy statement and approval, the JPMML Board is satisfied that the legal entity's Own Funds held and Liquid Assets remain adequate to address and mitigate material potential harms to the business strategy of the entity.

MIFIDPRU 4 (Own funds requirements)

Capital (own funds) risk is the risk that the Firm has an insufficient level or composition of capital to support JPMML's business activities and associated risks during both normal economic environments and under stressed conditions.

A strong capital position is essential to JPMML's business strategy and competitive position. Maintaining a strong balance sheet to manage through economic volatility is considered a strategic imperative of the Firm's Board of Directors (the "Board"), Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Operating Committee. The Firm capital management strategy focuses on maintaining long-term stability to enable the Firm to build and invest in market-leading businesses, even in highly stressed environments. Senior management considers the

implications on JPMMML's capital prior to making significant decisions that could impact future business activities. In addition to considering JPMMML's earnings outlook, senior management evaluates all sources and uses of capital with a view to ensuring JPMMML's capital strength.

Key capital risks include the risk of not meeting capital requirements. Accordingly, the capital management framework is designed to ensure that JPMMML is adequately capitalised at all times, primarily in relation to:

- Meeting minimum regulatory capital requirements (own funds requirement, and own funds threshold requirement under Investment Firms Prudential Regime ("IFPR")), plus any individual capital guidance requirement set by the FCA;
- Cover the risks faced by JPMMML, through regular comparisons of regulatory and internal capital requirement; and
- Operate within senior management's risk appetite expressed, for example, through the application of an internal capital buffer and preferred minimum capital requirements above those prescribed by regulation.

The framework used to manage capital is based around a regular cycle of point-in-time capital calculations and reporting, supplemented by forward-looking projections and stress-testing, with corrective action taken as and when required to maintain an appropriate level of capitalisation. Each part of the process is subject to rigorous control. Escalation of issues is driven by a business as usual ("BAU") framework of specific triggers, set in terms of capital requirements, movements in capital requirements and resources, and other measures.

In addition to the BAU capital monitoring framework, JPMMML uses the ICARA process to ensure that it is adequately capitalised in relation to its risk profile and appetite, not only as at the ICARA date, but through the economic cycle and under a range of severe but plausible stress scenarios. The ICARA results are reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors.

MIFIDPRU 5 (Concentration risk)

Concentration risk refers to any significant concentration of factors (e.g. single name, positions, industry) that may lead to financial losses for the firm.

JPMMML's concentration risk may arise from cash management handled via nostro accounts with inter-affiliate financial institutions.

MIFIDPRU 6 (Liquidity)

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Firm will be unable to meet its contractual and contingent obligations or that it does not have the appropriate amount, composition and tenor of funding and liquidity to support its assets and liabilities.

The primary objectives of the Firm's liquidity management are to ensure the Firm's core businesses and material legal entities are able to operate in support of client needs and meet contractual and contingent financial obligations through normal economic cycles as well as during stress events. Liquidity and funding are managed using a centralised, global approach and is designed, amongst other objectives, to identify constraints on the transfer of liquidity between legal entities and ensure an appropriate amount of surplus liquidity is maintained at a Firmwide and the legal entity level.

Liquidity and funding management is integrated into the Firm's liquidity management framework and is governed by the legal entity liquidity framework operating within established constraints set by firmwide liquidity management. The JPMMML Board have approved a liquidity risk appetite along with a supporting limits and indicators framework. Oversight is through the EMEA Asset-Liability Committee ("ALCO") which operates across LOBs and is chaired by the International Treasurer. The Liquidity Risk Management ("LRM") function, within the Chief Investment Office, Treasury and Corporate ("CTC") Risk is the second line of defence and responsible for the independent assessment, measuring, monitoring, and control of liquidity risk. Responsibilities of LRM include (but are not limited to) monitoring and reporting internal firmwide and legal entity stress tests, regulatory defined metrics, as well as liquidity positions, balance sheet variances, and funding activities; independently establishing and monitoring limits and indicators including Liquidity Risk Appetite; and performing an independent review of liquidity risk management processes to evaluate their adequacy and effectiveness based on the LRM Independent Review Framework.

From a liquidity management perspective, JPMMML is a self-funded entity through its equity capital resources principally deployed with affiliates on an overnight basis which gives rise to minimal levels of funding and refinancing risks. JPMMML also maintains readily available liquidity that ensures it has sufficient resources to withstand a range of shocks, both idiosyncratic and/or market driven, and that an orderly wind-down can be executed, in such an eventuality, without material disruption to the firm, markets or clients.

The following risks were assessed as part of the ICARA process over and above the minimum requirement as per MIFIDPRU 4, 5 and 6.

Compliance, Conduct and Operational Risk

Operational risk is the risk of an adverse outcome resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes or systems; human factors or external events impacting the Firm's processes or systems. Operational Risk includes compliance, conduct, legal, and estimations and model risk.

Operational risk is inherent in the Firm's activities and can manifest itself in various ways, including fraudulent acts, business disruptions (including those caused by extraordinary events beyond the Firm's control), cyber attacks, technology process failure, inappropriate employee behaviour, failure to comply with applicable laws, rules and regulations or failure of vendors or other third party providers to perform in accordance with their agreements. Operational Risk Management attempts to manage operational risk at appropriate levels in light of the Firm's financial position, the characteristics of its businesses, and the markets and regulatory environments in which it operates. JPMMML's approach mirrors firmwide approach.

Compliance, Conduct and Operational Risk (CCOR) Management Framework

The Firm's CCOR Management Framework is designed to enable the Firm to govern, identify, measure, monitor and test, manage and report on the Firm's operational risk. JPMML leverages the Firm's CCOR Management Framework.

Operational Risk Governance

The regional governance framework incorporates the firmwide strategy, the Firm's policies and LOB / CF structure. The regional framework is supplemental and complementary to the global framework and also provides the requisite link between the EMEA legal entities (LEs) and the LOBs/ CFs.

The LOBs and CFs are responsible for the management of operational risk. The Control Management Organisation, which consists of control managers within each LOB and CF, is responsible for the day-to-day execution of the CCOR Framework.

The Firm's Global Chief Compliance Officer ("CCO") and FRE for Operational Risk and Qualitative Risk Appetite is responsible for defining the CCOR Management Framework and establishing the minimum standards for its execution. The LOB and CF aligned CCOR Lead Officers report to the Global CCO and FRE for Operational Risk and Qualitative Risk Appetite and are independent of the respective businesses or functions they oversee. The CCOR Management Framework is included in the Risk Governance and Oversight Policy that is reviewed and approved by the Board Risk Committee periodically.

Operational Risk Identification

The Firm utilises a structured risk and control self-assessment process that is executed by the LOBs and CFs. As part of this process, the LOBs and CFs evaluate the effectiveness of their respective control environment to assess circumstances in which controls have failed, and to determine where remediation efforts may be required. The Firm's Operational Risk and Compliance organization provides oversight of and challenge to these evaluations and may also perform independent assessments of significant operational risk events and areas of concentrated or emerging risk.

Operational Risk Measurement

The Operational Risk and Compliance organisation performs an independent assessment of the operational risks inherent within the LOBs and CFs, which includes evaluating the effectiveness of the control environments and reporting the results to senior management.

In addition, CCOR assesses operational risks through quantitative means, including operational risk-based capital and estimation of operational risk losses under both baseline and stressed conditions.

Monitoring & Testing

The results of risk assessments performed by Operational Risk and Compliance are used in connection with their independent monitoring and testing compliance of the LOBs and CFs with laws, rules and regulations. Through monitoring and testing, Operational Risk and Compliance independently identify areas of heightened operational risk and tests the effectiveness of controls within the LOBs and CFs.

Operational Risk Management

The operational risk areas or issues identified through monitoring and testing are escalated to the LOBs and CFs to be remediated through action plans, as needed, to mitigate operational risk. Operational Risk and Compliance organisation may advise the LOBs and CFs in the development and implementation of action plans.

Operational Risk Reporting

All employees of the Firm are expected to escalate risks appropriately. Risks identified by Operational Risk and Compliance Organisation are escalated to the appropriate LOB and CF Control Committees, as needed.

Operational risk for JPMML is applicable and is driven by the asset management activities conducted within the legal entity.

Business Strategy Risk

Business strategy risk is the risk associated with business decisions that may impact revenue, business growth or viability associated with poorly designed or failed business plans or inadequate response to changes in the operating environment.

Risk Management

Business strategy risk as it impacts capital is managed through the entities' strategic and business planning as part of their Capital Management Framework. Business strategy risk is also considered and managed in a wider context. For example, for new products and services, failure to identify new or changed risks may expose the Firm and JPMML to financial loss or harm its reputation. Accordingly, the New Business Initiative Approval ("NBIA") policy provides a framework that governs the review and approval of new or materially changed products and services, while making sure that risks are identified, measured, monitored and controlled. LOBs are authorised to introduce new products, services and processes and are responsible for the new products and services they introduce.

Under the NBIA policy, the business is required to undertake an analysis of the economic, regulatory or legal entity capital impact of the new business, as appropriate. Sign-offs for NBIAs impacting the in scope legal entities include Compliance, Legal, Risk Management, Operational Risk, Finance, Corporate Tax, Treasury, Technology and Operations.

Risk Reporting and Measurement

The Firm's stress testing programme is an important component in managing, measuring and reporting business strategy business risk, testing the Firm's financial resilience in a range of severe economic and market conditions. For example, periodic baseline and stressed capital plans are prepared under the ICARA Capital Management framework, which include P&L projections (as well as the overall capital position) over the three-year time horizon modelled.

Risk Mitigation

Capital projections are used as a tool to help mitigate business strategy risk. If the baseline capital projections, which include P&L projections from the LOB, show an expected reduction in the earnings, this could be an indicator that a strategy is not implemented as expected and in certain cases extra capital could be set aside. Similarly, where the stressed capital projections show risks to capital beyond the entities' risk appetite, remedial action is taken.

Additionally, where disproportionate risks are identified through the NBIA process, changes are made to the new business initiative prior to their implementation or the initiative is withdrawn.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk associated with the default or change in credit profile of a client, counterparty or customer.

Credit Risk Management monitors and measures credit risk throughout the Firm and defines credit risk policies, procedures and limits. The Firm's credit risk management governance includes maintaining a credit risk policy framework; monitoring and measuring credit risk across all portfolio segments; as well as estimating credit losses and supporting appropriate credit risk-based capital management.

JPMML's credit risk profile is limited due to the nature of the business conducted within the legal entity. As of 31 December 2024, credit risk arises only from cash held with other inter-affiliates.

Climate-related financial risk

JPMML is within scope of FCA PS 21/24 and is required to publish a report aligned to the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures ("TCFD") recommendations for the year ending 2024, which will be available on its website on 30th June 2025 here (<https://jpmorganmansart.com/#/sustainability>). This report will detail JPMML's governance, strategy, risk management, and metrics related to climate-related financial risks and opportunities.

Reputation Risk

Reputation risk is the risk that an action or inaction may negatively impact perception of the Firm's integrity and reduce confidence in the firm's competence by various stakeholders, including clients, counterparties, customers, communities, investors, regulators, or employees. Reputation risk is assessed and defined at the Firmwide level and is applicable to JPMML.

The types of events that may result in reputation risk are wide-ranging and can be introduced by the Firm's employees, business strategies and activities, clients, customers and counterparties with which the Firm does business. These events could contribute to financial losses, litigation, regulatory enforcement actions, fines, penalties or other sanctions, as well as other harm to the Firm.

Organisation and management

Reputation Risk Management is an independent risk management function that establishes the governance framework for managing reputation risk across the Firm's LOBs and CFs. Reputation risk is inherently challenging to identify, manage, and quantify.

The Firm's reputation risk management function includes the following activities:

- Maintaining a Firmwide Reputation Risk Governance policy and a standard consistent with the reputation risk framework
- Providing oversight of the governance framework through processes and infrastructure to support consistent identification, escalation, and monitoring of reputation risk issues Firmwide.

Governance and oversight

The Reputation Risk Governance policy establishes the principles for managing reputation risk for the Firm. It is the responsibility of each LOB and Corporate, and the Firm's employees, to consider the reputation of the Firm when deciding whether to offer a new product, engage in a transaction or client relationship, enter a new jurisdiction, initiate a business process or consider any other activity.

Environmental impacts and social concerns are important considerations in assessing the Firm's reputation risk and are a component of the Firm's reputation risk governance.

Reputation risk issues that are deemed to be material are escalated as appropriate.

3. Governance arrangements (MIFIDPRU 8.3)

Governance Summary

The legal entity governance framework is owned by the JPMML Board and is supplemented by the firmwide and regional governance frameworks designated to cover specific responsibilities as detailed below.

The Board of Directors has overall responsibility for oversight of JPMML and is responsible for ensuring that it acts within the framework and overall strategy and control of the Firm and that JPMML complies with all applicable laws and regulations:

- The Board of Directors is informed of any significant internal control issues and receives information on events or developments that could expose JPMML to material loss;
- The responsibilities of the Board of Directors with respect to capital, liquidity and risk management include approving the risk appetite of JPMML and reviewing entity-level risks as appropriate and subject to firmwide control. Other responsibilities of the Board include the review of the Recovery Plan and wind-down plan through the review and approval of the ICARA;
- Capital management is ultimately owned by the Board. The responsibility for ongoing oversight of JPMML's capital positions is delegated to the EMEA Capital Committee.
- The Board of Directors rely on the activities of individual directors and senior managers to maintain JPMML's control environment. JPMML is subject to Senior Manager & Certification Regime (SM&CR) and responsibilities are formalised in (a) the Senior Management Functions ("SMF") roles within the FCA Senior Managers Regime and (b) certifications under the FCA Certification Regime.

Diversity, Opportunity & Inclusion

JPMC believes that its long-term growth and success depend on its ability to attract, develop and retain talented employees and foster an inclusive work environment.

Board appointments are made on merit, considering a broad range of attributes and factors such as expertise, skills, qualifications, educational and professional background, as well as geographical provenance of individual board members and the board collectively. In identifying individuals for prospective Board opportunities, JPMML will continue to look broadly across a wide spectrum of talent, and continue to incorporate principles of equal opportunity when evaluating talent and making board selections (Wates Principle II: Balance and Diversity).

As at 31st December 2024, the representation of female directors on the Board was JPMML: 0%

Members of the Board of Directors

The JPMML Board is comprised of two directors. The directors are:

Shahzad Sadique

Shahzad Sadique joined JPMorgan in 2012 and was appointed as a director and Chief Executive Officer of JPMML in May of that year. He has over 20 years of experience in the Financial Services industry. Prior to joining J.P. Morgan, he was the Head of Morgan Stanley's structured and alternative fund business within their Investment Bank and was previously the co-Head of the retail structuring team for the multi-asset platform at Dresdner Bank. Mr.Sadique began his career at Merrill Lynch in the global equity derivatives group. He holds a Master of Science in International Securities and Investment Banking from the ISMA Centre, University of Reading and a Bachelor of Arts in Financial Economics.

Grant Ross

Grant Ross joined the board of JPMML in November 2022. He is the EMEA Controller for JP Morgan's Commercial and Investment Bank where he is responsible for legal entity control, financial regulatory reporting, capital strategy, management and policy across the region along with the CIB's global income attribution team. Grant has over 28 years industry experience including previous roles leading global business management teams across Rates, Fixed Income Financing, Currencies & Emerging Markets and Credit Portfolio as well as global product controller roles across Markets and Risk in London and New York. Grant has a BSc from University College London.

Directorship for JPMML

Name	Senior Management	Other Directorships pursuant to MIFIDPRU8.3.1R(2)
Shahzad Sadique	SMF 1 (Chief Executive) and SMF 3 (Executive Director)	0
Grant Ross	SMF 3 (Executive Director)	0

4. Own Funds (MIFIDPRU 8.4)

The Firm only holds Common Equity Tier 1 ("CET1") Capital which is comprised of share capital, other audited reserves and retained earnings. Deductions are made from CET1 capital in respect to current year losses.

In accordance with MIFIDPRU 8.4 JPMML is required to provide information regarding its Own Funds instruments in addition to how these reconcile to the balance sheet. The composition of own funds is illustrated in more detail in Table 1 below, with Table 2 demonstrating how this aligns to the balance sheet in the financial statements.

Table 3 discloses the main features of the Own Fund Instruments issued by JPMML. CET1 is the highest quality of capital and typically represents share capital, reserves and audited profit.

Table 1: OF1 – Composition of regulatory own funds

Own Fund Disclosure template	JPMML (\$'000)	Source for reference
OWN FUNDS	19,436	
TIER 1 CAPITAL	19,436	
COMMON EQUITY TIER 1 CAPITAL	19,436	
Fully paid up capital instruments	25,000	a
Retained earnings	(5,352)	b
Accumulated other comprehensive income	—	
Other reserves	71	c
(-)TOTAL DEDUCTIONS FROM COMMON EQUITY TIER 1	(283)	

Table 2: OF2 – Reconciliation of regulatory own funds to balance sheet in the audited financial statements

Regulatory own funds reconciliation to Balance sheet	Balance sheet as in published/audited financial statements	Cross-reference to template OF1
	31-Dec-2024	
Items	(\$'000)	
Non-current assets		
Other Assets	283	
Total non current assets	283	
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	23,416	
Debtors	14,871	
Total current assets	38,287	
Total Assets	38,570	
Current liabilities		
Creditors: amounts falling due within one year	18,851	
Total current liabilities	18,851	
Total Liabilities	18,851	
Equity		
Called-up share capital	25,000	a
Other reserves	71	c
Retained earnings	(5,352)	b
Total Shareholders' equity	19,719	

The table below provides information on CET1 instruments issued by the firm. There were no changes since the last financial year.

Table 3: OF3 – Main features of own instruments issued by JPMML

Capital Instruments Main Features	JPMML	
	CET1	CET1
	£1 ordinary shares	\$1 ordinary shares
Public or private placement	Private Placement	Private Placement
Instrument type	Ordinary shares	Ordinary shares
Amount recognised in regulatory capital (GBP thousands, as of most recent reporting date)	£0	\$25,000k
Nominal amount of instrument	£1	\$1
Issue price	£1	\$1
Redemption price	N/A	N/A
Accounting classification	Shareholders' equity	Shareholders' equity
Original date of issuance	£0.001k Nov 7 2007	\$25,000k Oct 22 2012
Perpetual or dated	Perpetual	Perpetual
Maturity date	No maturity	No maturity
Issuer call subject to prior supervisory approval	No	No
Optional call date, contingent call dates and redemption amount	N/A	N/A
Subsequent call dates, if applicable	N/A	N/A
Coupons/dividends	N/A	N/A
Fixed or floating dividend/coupon	N/A	N/A
Coupon rate and any related index	N/A	N/A
Existence of a dividend stopper	No	No
Convertible or non-convertible	Non-convertible	Non-convertible
Write-down features	N/A	N/A

5. Own funds requirement (MIFIDPRU 8.5)

In accordance with MIFIDPRU 4.3.2, the requirement is to hold own funds in excess of the greater of:

- Permanent minimum capital requirement,
- Fixed Overheads Requirement ("FOR") or
- K-Factor Requirement ("KFR").

In accordance with MIFIDPRU 8.5 JPMMML must disclose its K-factor requirements and FOR as detailed below: For 2024, Own fund requirements for JPMMML are driven by FOR.

Fixed Overheads Requirement

The FOR is a proxy for the amount of own funds which must be held to allow JPMMML to wind-down in an orderly way. The FOR is equal to 25% of the firm's annual relevant expenditure.

K-Factor requirement

The KFR is the amount of own funds required to cover the risk of harm from the ongoing operation of JPMMML's business falling within the following risk categories:

- Assets for which the firm is responsible captures the value of assets an investment firm manages for its clients ("K-AUM"), amount of client money it holds ("K-CMH") and the value of assets it safeguards and administers for clients ("K-ASA").
- Execution activity undertaken by the firm captures the value of orders that an investment firm handles for clients ("K-COH") and the daily value of transactions it enters through dealing on own account or the execution of orders on behalf of clients ("K-DTF").
- Exposure-based risks captures standardised market risk provisions ("K-NPR"), margin required by a clearing member or qualifying central counterparty ("K-CMG"), trading activity giving rise to risk of trading counterparty default ("K-TCD") and concentration risk to a client or group of connected clients in the trading book ("K-CON").

The KFR provides for risk sensitive capital requirements based on the activities of the firm.

5.1 K- Factor

Table 4: K - Factor and Fixed Overhead requirement

Risk of Harm	K-factor	Description	31-Dec-2024	31-Dec-2023
			\$'000	\$'000
Assets for which the firm is responsible	K-COH	Client Orders Handled	—	—
Exposure driven risk	K-NPR	Net Position Risk	512	86
Execution activity driven risk	K-DTF	Daily Trading Flow	—	—
	K-TCD	Trading Counterparty Default	—	—
Total of K-Factor requirement			512	86
Fixed Overhead Requirement			2,700	1,741

5.2 Adequacy of own funds

In addition to the BAU capital monitoring framework, JPMMML uses the ICARA process to ensure they are adequately capitalised in relation to their risk profile and appetite, not only as at the ICARA date, but through the economic cycle and under a range of severe but plausible stress scenarios.

The ICARA is a regulatory requirement of the FCA and an important tool for JPMMML's Board and Senior Management. The ICARA consists of a number of interlinked components that form part of management and decision-making processes such as the firm's risk appetite, strategy, capital and risk management frameworks, stress testing, recovery planning and wind-down planning.

The ICARA results are reviewed by management and reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors. The ICARA informs the Board of Directors of the ongoing assessment of JPMMML's processes for managing the sources and uses of capital and liquidity as well as compliance with supervisory expectations for capital planning and adequacy of own funds. This forward-looking assessment of own funds requirements given the business strategy, risk profile, risk appetite and capital plan result in potential impacts to JPMMML's earnings, capital resources, own funds requirements and balance sheet.

Stress testing assesses the potential impact of alternative economic and business scenarios on JPMMML's earnings and capital. Economic scenarios, and the parameters underlying those scenarios, are defined centrally and applied uniformly across the businesses. These scenarios are articulated in terms of global market shocks, which generate short-term but severe trading losses; and idiosyncratic operational risk events. The scenarios are intended to capture and stress key vulnerabilities and idiosyncratic risks facing JPMMML.

6. Remuneration policy and practices (MIFIDPRU 8.6)

J.P. Morgan Mansart Management Limited

Background

This section sets out the remuneration disclosures required under the IFPR, in accordance with the provisions outlined in MIFIDPRU 8 of the IFPR, in relation to JPMMML, and in respect of the remuneration period (“Performance Year”) ending 31 December 2024. In compliance with the MIFIDPRU 8 requirements, JPMMML has provided a level of detail that is appropriate to its size and internal organisation, and to the nature, scope, and complexity of its activities.

JPMMML is part of the JPMC group of companies. In this section, the terms “J.P. Morgan” or “Firm” refer to the J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. group of companies, and each of the entities in that group globally, unless otherwise specified.

This section sets out general principles. Details of specific remuneration programmes are set forth in the relevant plan terms and conditions as in force from time to time.

Qualitative Disclosures

As part of the Firm, JPMMML applies J.P. Morgan’s global compensation philosophy and pay practices. The qualitative remuneration disclosures required under IFPR and the Capital Requirements Regulation (“CRR”) for all employees of the Firm’s subsidiaries and branches located in EMEA, including IFPR Identified Staff of JPMMML, is available in the most recent EMEA Remuneration Policy Disclosure at: <https://jpmorganchaseco.gcs-web.com/ir/sec-other-filings/basel-pillar-and-lcr-disclosures/pillar-eu>

Additional qualitative disclosures specific to JPMMML

JPMMML complied with the applicable remuneration requirements of the IFPR, as implemented in the FCA Handbook SYSC 19G (the “Remuneration Rules”). The following additional disclosures should therefore be read in conjunction with the EMEA Remuneration Policy Disclosure:

Remuneration governance and decision making

- Due to the size and internal organisation of JPMMML, oversight of Remuneration is delegated to the Firm’s UK Remuneration Committee (“UK RemCo”) formed of non-executive directors.
- The UK RemCo reviews JPMMML’s remuneration policy (the “Remuneration Policy”) on an annual basis, recommends it to the Board of directors of JPMMML (the “Board”) for adoption, and oversees its implementation. The Board last reviewed and adopted the Remuneration Policy that applied for the 2024 Performance Year in June 2024, with no material changes noted.
- JPMMML’s Risk and Compliance functions are involved in the review of the Remuneration Policy, including reviewing JPMMML’s approach to the designation of those roles which could potentially have a material impact on the risk profile of JPMMML (“IFPR Identified Staff”). The Internal Audit function performs a central and independent review of the implementation of the Remuneration Policy on an annual basis, and relevant findings are reported to the Board.
- The UK RemCo did not engage the services of a remuneration consultant during the Performance Year.
- More details on the decision-making procedures and governance surrounding the development of the Remuneration Policy and practices adopted by the Firm can be found in section 1 (*Governance and Oversight*) of the EMEA Remuneration Policy Disclosure.

Remuneration policies, principles and practices

- Section 1 (*Governance and Oversight*) of the EMEA Remuneration Policy Disclosure also sets out:
 - the Firm’s approach to the remuneration of all staff, including staff of JPMMML;
 - the Firm’s “compensation philosophy” that guides its remuneration policies and practices; and
 - the objectives underlying the Firm’s financial incentives.
- Details of the different components of remuneration, as categorised into fixed and variable components, and a summary of incentives created by the remuneration policies and practices applicable to staff in the Firm (including staff of JPMMML) and Identified Staff (including IFPR Identified Staff) are described in section 2 (*Compensation Structure*) of the EMEA Remuneration Policy Disclosure.

Link between pay and performance

- As described in the Firm’s compensation philosophy, as set out in the EMEA Remuneration Policy Disclosure, the Firm focuses on risk adjusted performance and rewards behaviours that generate sustained value for the Firm. The Firm uses a disciplined pay-for-performance framework to make decisions about compensation so that compensation is commensurate with the overall performance of the Firm, the respective businesses (such as JPMMML) and individual performance.
- More information on the link between Incentive Compensation (“IC”) and performance is set out in section 3 (*Link between Pay and Performance*) of the EMEA Remuneration Policy Disclosure, including:
 - the Firm’s key financial and non-financial performance drivers in determining performance at a Firm, JPMMML and individual level; and
 - a description of how the key performance drivers are used to set discretionary bonus pools, including financial and non-financial metrics, and adjustments for current and future risks.

Identification and remuneration of IFPR Identified Staff

- JPMMML undertakes an annual review of its staff against the qualitative criteria set out in SYSC 19G.5 to identify its IFPR Identified Staff. This IFPR Identified Staff group is reviewed on an ongoing basis and IFPR Identified Staff are notified of their

status and the impact on their remuneration structure. Individuals classified as IFPR Identified Staff for JPMML under SYSC 19G.5 may include (but are not limited to):

- the management body in its management and/or supervisory function;
 - senior management;
 - staff members with managerial responsibility for business units carrying on certain regulated activities;
 - staff members with managerial responsibility for the activities of a Control Function;
 - staff members with managerial responsibility for the prevention of money laundering and terrorist financing;
 - staff members responsible for managing a material risk;
 - staff members responsible for managing IT, information security, and/or outsourcing arrangements of certain critical or important functions; and
 - staff members who have authority to take decisions approving or vetoing the introduction of new products.
- As well as the criteria set out in SYSC 19G.5, consideration has also been given to internal criteria, including roles responsible for one of the key risk types identified in JPMML's ICARA.

Structure of remuneration for IFPR Identified Staff

- All members of IFPR Identified Staff's compensation are structured with reference to an appropriate fixed to variable pay ratio.
- On account of JPMML falling within SYSC 19G.1.1R(2), the following provisions do not apply:
 - SYSC 19G.6.19R to SYSC 19G.6.21G (Shares, instruments and alternative arrangements);
 - SYSC 19G.6.22R and SYSC 19G.6.23G (Retention policy);
 - SYSC 19G.6.24R to SYSC 19G.6.29R (Deferral); and
 - SYSC 19G.6.35R(2) (Discretionary pension benefits).

Malus and Clawback

- All IC for members of IFPR Identified Staff is subject to malus and clawback provisions which reflects the requirements of the Remuneration Rules, in addition to the firmwide recovery provisions and the Firm's Bonus Recoupment Policy.

Other payments

- The Firm's policy (including that applied to IFPR Identified Staff) on guaranteed variable compensation and severance pay is set out in section 2 of the EMEA Remuneration Policy Disclosure under (*Awards to new hires and leavers*).

Other applicable remuneration requirements

- JPMML also complies with the applicable remuneration requirements of the Alternative Investment Fund Manager Directive ("AIFMD") and the Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities ("UCITS") V Directive.
- For members of Identified Staff who are identified for more than one of the applicable regulatory regimes in EMEA, their remuneration is structured in accordance with the 'most stringent' regime, as determined on a provision by provision basis.

Quantitative Disclosures

Table 5: Total remuneration awarded for performance period

\$ 000'	Senior Management	Other IFPR Identified Staff	Other Staff
Total remuneration	5,511	6,162	3,052
Of which: fixed remuneration	2,100	3,815	1,783
Of which: variable remuneration	3,411	2,347	1,269

JPMML identified 10 members of IFPR Identified Staff.

No members of IFPR Identified Staff received guaranteed variable remuneration or a severance award.

7. Investment policy (MIFIDPRU 8.7)

In accordance with MIFIDPRU 8.7.6, a firm is only required to make disclosures regarding its investment policy in the following circumstances:

- Where its holdings relate to a company whose shares have been admitted to trading on a regulated market;
- Where the proportion of voting rights that the Firm directly or indirectly holds in that company is greater than 5% of all voting rights attached to the shares issued by the company; and
- Only in respect of shares in that company to which voting rights are attached.

Note: JPMML does not hold, directly or indirectly, any voting rights in any company; therefore, investment policy disclosures do not apply.

8. Appendix: IFPR Mapping Reference

Articles	Prerequisites	Reference
8.1 Disclosure		
8.1.1	All disclosure requirements apply to a Non-SNI firm, with the exception of:	JPMMML is a Non-SNI firm
8.1.2	(4) Investment policy only applies if the firm does not fall within MIFIDPRU 7.1.4R(1).	
8.1.3	SNI MIFIDPRU Investment Firms 1. A Non-SNI investment firm may be reclassified as an SNI MIFIDPRU Investment firm in certain circumstances and be subject to reduced disclosure requirements. When reclassified to a SNI investment firm, full disclosure requirements apply the year of reclassification.	Not applicable
8.1.4		
8.1.5	2. When an SNI investment firm is reclassified as a non-SNI investment firm, reduced disclosure requirements apply the year of reclassification, but it can choose to fully disclose in line with Non-SNI investment firms requirements.	
8.1.6		
8.1.7	Disclosure required on an individual basis, unless exempt.	Disclosure prepared on an individual basis.
8.1.8	Qualitative disclosure detail must be appropriate to the size and complexity of the investment firm. E.g., Non-SNI investment firms should disclose more detailed remuneration detail than an SNI investment firm.	Requirements are met.
8.1.9		
8.1.10	Disclosure is required annually on the date the investment firm publishes its annual financial statements. More frequent disclosure should be considered in particular circumstances, such as a major change in business model or merger.	
8.1.11		
8.1.12	MIFIDPRU TP12 details transitional provisions for disclosure requirements.	Not applicable
8.1.13		
8.1.14	Disclosure must be easy to easily accessible, free to obtain, clearly presented and easy to understand. For future disclosures, the format should be consistent with previous disclosure periods, and any significant changes should be highlighted. A firm is not required to disclosure items which in doing so would breach the law of another jurisdiction. Disclosures should be published on a website.	Requirements are met.
8.1.15		
8.1.16		
8.1.17		
8.2 Risk management objectives and policies		
8.2.1	An investment firm must disclose its risk management objectives and policies relating to Own funds requirements, concentration risk and liquidity. This must include a concise statement approved by the investment firm's governing body describing the potential for harm associated with the business strategy and a summary of the strategies and processes used to manage each risk category, highlighting how this helps reduce the potential for harm. An investment firm may draw information from the ICARA process to comply with disclosing it's approach to risk management referencing its risk management policies, details of risk management structure and operations, how risk appetite is set and summary of how it assesses the effectiveness of its risk management processes.	Section 2: Risk Management Objectives
8.2.2		
8.2.3		
8.3 Governance arrangements		
8.3.1	Information relating to internal governance arrangements: 1. an overview of how it complies with governance arrangements; 2. number of directorships (executive and non-executive) held by each board member; 3. a summary of the policy promoting diversity in the board; 4. if the firm has a risk committee and whether the firm is required to establish a risk committee.	Section 3: Governance Arrangements Directorship details
8.4 Own Funds		
8.4.1	Information on own funds: 1. a reconciliation of CET1, AT1 and T2 to calculate own funds; 2. a reconciliation of own funds to its audited financial statements; and 3. a description of the main features of CET1, AT1 and T2 instruments issued by the firm. A firm that is not required to publish annual financial statements is not required to disclose (2)	Section 4. Own Funds Table 1: OF1 – Composition of regulatory own funds Table 2: Reconciliation of regulatory Own funds to balance sheet in the audited financial statements Table 3: OF3 – Main features of own instruments issued
8.4.2	A firm must use the template available at MIFIDPRU 8 Annex 1R in order to disclose the information requested at MIFIDPRU 8.4.1R.	
8.5 Own Funds Requirements		

8.5.1	An investment firm must disclose: 1. The K-Factor requirement broken down into a. Sum of K-SUM, K-CMH and K-ASA; b. Sum of K-COH and K-DTF; c. Sum of K-NOR, K-CMG, K-TCD and K-CON. 2. Fixed overheads requirement.	Section 5. Own Funds Requirement. Table 4: K - Factor and Fixed Overhead requirement
8.5.2	An investment first must disclose its approach to assessing the adequacy of its own funds in accordance with the overall financial adequacy rule.	
8.6 Remuneration policy and practices		
8.6.1	The rules in this section apply to investment firm, unless otherwise specified.	
8.6.2	A MIFIDPRU investment firm must disclose a summary of: (1) its approach to remuneration for all staff ("staff" interpreted according to SYSC 19G.1.24G); (2) the objectives of its financial incentives; (3) the decision-making procedures and governance surrounding the development of the remuneration policies and practices the firm is required to adopt in accordance with the MIFIDPRU Remuneration Code, to include, where applicable: (a) the composition of and mandate given to the remuneration committee; and (b) details of any external consultants used in the development of the remuneration policies and practices.	
8.6.3	An investment firm may consider it appropriate to disclose: (1) the principles or philosophy guiding the firm's remuneration policies and practices; (2) how the firm links variable remuneration and performance; (3) the firm's main performance objectives; and (4) the categories of staff eligible to receive variable remuneration.	Section 6: Remuneration Policy Qualitative Disclosure
8.6.4	An investment firm must disclose the types of staff it has identified as material risk takers under SYSC 19G.5, including any criteria in addition to those in SYSC 19G.5.3R that the firm has used to identify material risk takers	
8.6.5	An investment firm must disclose the key characteristics of its remuneration policies and practices in sufficient detail to provide the reader with: (1) an understanding of the risk profile of the firm and/or the assets it manages; and (2) an overview of the incentives created by the remuneration policies and practices.	
8.6.6	a firm must disclose at least the different components of remuneration, together with the categorisation of those remuneration components as fixed or variable	
8.6.7	An investment firm is reminded of the rules and guidance in SYSC 19G.4 on categorising fixed and variable remuneration.	
8.6.8	The investment firm must disclose the following information, split into categories for senior management, other material risk takers, and other staff: (a) the total amount of remuneration awarded; (b) the fixed remuneration awarded; and (c) the variable remuneration awarded.	
8.6.9	The firm that relies on MIFIDPRU 8.6.8R(7) must include a statement in the main body of its remuneration disclosure that: (1) explains the obligations in relation to which it has relied on the exemption; and (2) confirms that the exemption is relied on to prevent individual identification of a material risk taker.	
8.6.10	The purpose of the exemption referred to in MIFIDPRU 8.6.8R(7) is to avoid firms having to disclose information: (1) that would enable a material risk taker to be identified; or (2) that could be associated with a particular material risk taker.	Quantitative Disclosure Table 5: Total remuneration awarded for performance period
8.6.11	When considering the exemptions in MIFIDPRU 8.6.8R(7), the non-SNI MIFIDPRU investment firm should apply the conditions to each information item separately. Where the information contained in at least one of the categories of senior management and other material risk takers relates to one or two material risk takers, the non-SNI MIFIDPRU investment firm is exempt from the requirement to split the information into these categories, and should aggregate the information. Where the aggregated information still relates to only one or two individuals, the non-SNI MIFIDPRU investment firm is exempt from the requirement to disclose that information.	
8.7 Investment policy		Not Applicable

9. Glossary of Acronyms

AIFMD	Alternative Investment Fund Manager Directive	LOB	Line of Business
ALCO	Asset-Liability Committee	LOB CRO	Chief Risk Officer of the LOB and Corporate
BAU	Business as Usual	LRM	Liquidity Risk Management
CCO	Chief Compliance Officer	NBIA	New Business Initiative Approval
CCOR	Compliance, Conduct and Operational Risk	P&L	Profit & Loss
CEO	Chief Executive Officer	SMF	Senior Management Functions
CET1	Common Equity Tier 1	SM&CR	Senior Manager & Certification Regime
CFs	Corporate Functions	TCIO	Treasury and Chief Investment Office
CIB	Commercial and Investment Bank	TCFD	Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures
CRO	Chief Risk Officer	UCITS	Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities
CRR	Capital Requirements Regulation	UK RemCo	UK Remuneration Committee
CTC	Chief Investment Office, Treasury and Corporate		
ELERC	EMEA Legal Entity Risk Committee		
EMEA	Europe, Middle East and Africa		
EMC	EMEA Management Committee		
ERC	EMEA Risk Committee		
FCA	Financial Conduct Authority		
Firm	JPMorgan Chase & Co.		
FOR	Fixed Overhead Requirement		
FRC	Firmwide Risk Committee		
FRE	Firmwide Risk Executive		
IC	Incentive Compensation		
ICARA	Internal Capital Adequacy and Risk Assessment		
IFPR	Investment Firms Prudential Regime		
IRM	Independent Risk Management		
JPMC	JPMorgan Chase & Company		
JPMML	J.P. Morgan Mansart Management Limited		
K-ASA	Assets Safeguarded and Administered		
K-AUM	Assets Under Management		
K-CMG	Clearing Margin Given		
K-CMH	Client Money Held		
K-COH	Client Orders Handled		
K-CON	Concentration Risk		
K-DTF	Daily Trading Flow		
KFR	K-Factor Requirement		
K-NPR	Net Position Risk		
K-TCD	Trading Counterparty Default		
JPMC	JPMorgan Chase & Company		